UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,068	08/26/2003	T. Wade Fallin	13447.37	3366
44270 MEDICINELO	7590 06/24/200 DGE INC.	9	EXAMINER	
124 South 600	West		RYCKMAN, MELISSA K	
LOGAN, UT 84321			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/648,068	FALLIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MELISSA RYCKMAN	3773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	E DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 39-41 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,11-38 and 42-44 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exame	re withdrawn from considerati d. d/or election requirement.	on.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cort 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application _·	

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to claims filed 4/6/09.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6,8,9,11-33,35,37,38, and 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simonson (U.S. Patent No. 7,008,431) and further in view of Fischer (U.S. Patent No. 5,006,113) and Storz (U.S. Patent No. 4,449,532).

Simonson teaches three elongate bodies (Fig. 2) with passageways (from proximal to distal end), the second (12) being external of the first (12). The second dilator is shorter than the first dilator (Fig. 2). The first dilator being configured to receive the guidewire (14). Storz teaches a method of dilating bodily tissue, including inserting a first dilator and advancing the second over the first.

Simonson teaches the claimed invention but does not teach a circular dilator with mating members that are a bounded thread track preventing rotation, however Storz teaches a circular dilator and Fisher teaches a threaded cannula, to prevent free rotation (Fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a circular dilator of Storz as this is advantageous in different medical applications. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the threads of Fishers as this prevents free rotation, which is necessary to maintain the functionality of Simonson, as the device of Simonson prevent free rotation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simonson (U.S. Patent No. 7,008,431) and further in view of Fischer (U.S. Patent No. 5,006,113) and Storz (U.S. Patent No. 4,449,532).as applied to claims 1 and 31above and further in view of Smith (U.S. Patent No. 4,862,891).

Simonson, Storz and Fisher teach the claimed invention but does not specify the geometry of the dilators, however Smith teaches tapered frustraconical configuration (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a frustraconical configuration as this allows for smoother access into the tissue.

Art Unit: 3773

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELISSA RYCKMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-9969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571)-272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MKR /Melissa Ryckman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773

/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/

Application/Control Number: 10/648,068

Page 5

Art Unit: 3773

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773